

Application Control No.10/800, 797
Art Unit 3641.

(k) **ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE.**

This is the first time such a type of flying machine is thought of and the idea sent for obtaining a patent. There are no precedent to the best of my knowledge.

(l) **SEQUENCE LISTING.**

The sequence given in your "Office Action Summary" is followed.

- i) Request for forms of Application was sent by me on 12.8.2003.
- ii) Application Form with fees to be paid was sent on 4.3.2004. My Application No.10/800, 797, dated 4.3.2004 was received in your office on 3.16.2004.

CLAIMS REJECTIONS.

Ref: Paras 8 and 9 of your office action summary at pages 9, 10 and 11.

I submit that my request for Application Forms was sent on 12.8.2003. My Application No.10/800, 797 was received in your office on 3.16.2004.

The Walmsley US patent is dated 3/2004. I have no knowledge of the date of filing of his application and other details.

There are many differences between the Walmsley invention and mine. In the Walmsley invention there are no nozzles, for issue of high pressure gas tangentially. There are major differences in the method of getting air borne.

As such I pray that my invention may be treated as a separate entity and a patent issued.